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CHAPTER 4

Literature and learning in early medieval Meath

CLODAHG DOWNEY

The medieval literature of Ireland stands out among the vernacular literatures of western Europe for its volume, its diversity and its antiquity, and within this treasury of cultural riches, Meath holds a prominence greatly disproportionate to its geographical extent, however that extent is reckoned. Indeed, the first decision confronting anyone who wishes to consider this subject is to define its geographical limits: the modern county of Meath is quite a different entity to the medieval kingdom of Mide from which it gets its name and which itself designated different areas at different times. It would be quite defensible to include in a survey of medieval literature those areas which are now under the administration of other modern counties, but which may have been part of the medieval kingdom at the time that that literature was produced. On the other hand, as the guiding principle of this series is to consider each modern county in turn, it would seem desirable to maintain this rationale, if only to avoid encroaching on potential future surveys of counties once part of the ancient kingdom. I have opted for the latter approach. It should be borne in mind, however, that the imposition of the county boundaries that govern to such a great extent our sense of place today did not commence until after – in some cases, long after – the Anglo-Norman invasion; the territorial divisions that were thereby created have, for the most part, little bearing on life, or literature, prior to that time.

With respect to date, the material considered here belongs to the period between the seventh and twelfth centuries. While the dating of medieval Irish texts can be a difficult exercise with often inexact results, the texts referred to here may be reasonably confidently assigned to this period. The geographical area covered by most of the present-day county for most of this period was not in fact known as Mide (when it referred to the area roughly equating to modern Westmeath), but as Brega (literally 'hills', plural of brí 'hill'), a kingdom which also encompassed the part of modern-day County Dublin north of the Liffey, and
part of County Louth south of the river Glyde. It was not until the reign of Mael Sechnaill mac Domnaill (ob. 1022) that the name Mide was applied to the region which still carries it today.

I have confined this essay to two main concerns: firstly, evidence for centres of learning within Meath, specifically those which we may conjecture nurtured some interest in secular learning, and secondly, literature, both prose and verse, in respect of which a strong argument may be made for its origins within the area of the present county. This approach is necessarily limited to texts and sources which offer grounds for such an argument and so cannot hope to provide a complete picture of all literature produced in this area during this period. This is especially true in the case of prose texts, which are often quite opaque as regards indicators of provenance; a stronger argument of origins may often be made in the case of poetry, as, for example, when poems are attributed to named poets who may be associated with particular areas on the basis of known family background or working milieu. In some cases where such external evidence is lacking, provenance may be inferred from a distinct regional predilection in a poet's oeuvre. The selection of literary works in this essay is therefore necessarily predicated on speculation, more solid in some cases than in others, and indeed many more could be added in a longer study. Moreover, the selection here presented is just that: an essay of this scope cannot pretend to comprehensiveness, either in kind or quantity, but merely hopes to gather together some worthy representatives, both human and textual, of medieval learning in Meath.

Of all the counties in Ireland, Meath is perhaps the one whose important places and institutions, well-known and highly prestigious island-wide, were most likely to permeate a medieval cultural consciousness that extended beyond its own physical boundaries, and therefore most likely to figure in literature originating from other areas of the island. The most prestigious and well-known of these places is, of course, Tara. The prominence of Tara in early Irish learned tradition is incontrovertible. This proceeded from the transcendent nature of the sacral kingship that was anciently focused there and resounds through the extant mythological, historical, hagiographical, topographical, aetiological, gnomic and narrative literature. Tara was unlike any other place in Ireland: its essence was universal and its context was not merely local, and, as befits its unique status in Irish tradition, it has received much scholarly attention. In particular, the kingship with which it is synonymous, and for which literature is perhaps our most articulate source, has been a subject of deep interest and study among modern commentators. This interest is surely proportionate to Tara’s importance, but it seems fair to allow some other Meath places a turn on centre stage, as I hope to do here.
Centres of learning

Although the literature discussed here is mostly secular in tone and vernacular in language, evidence of early medieval seats of learning and manuscript production in Ireland is predominantly found in association with ecclesiastical centres, where the Latin language and Christian learning held an important position. One of our most important sources of information on such centres is the early Irish annals, which sometimes mention scholars who were incumbents in them, and who are predominantly described as scholars of church and Latin learning. The annalistic evidence is hardly complete, however, and the general picture of the transmission of early Irish literature is one of significant interest in and involvement with secular literature among many early Irish churches. So although such evidence attests to an ecclesiastical learning environment, it does not necessarily follow that a church was not interested in secular culture simply because the annals do not record it. Perhaps the place that first comes to most minds at the mention of early Irish manuscripts is Kells, a Columban monastery whose construction in 807 is recorded in the Annals of Ulster. For a history of Kells and its place in the Columban federation, see Máire Herbert, Iona, Kells and Derry: the history and hagiography of the monastic familia of Columba (Oxford, 1988), chapters 5 to 8.

Plate 4.1: Kells Round Tower (courtesy Francis Ludlow).
The spectacular manuscript that bears its name (although its true provenance is uncertain) falls outside the scope of this essay, since it is primarily a Latin Gospel book, and contains no vernacular literature. In the case of Kells, the annals record the deaths of six of its *fir léiginn* (‘men of [ecclesiastical] learning’) between 993 and 1070, and charter material later copied into the Book of Kells names another *fer léiginn*, as well as one Óengus Ua Gamna (fl. 1117), *toísech na scolóc/macc léigend* ‘superior of students’.7 We may therefore assume, regardless of the provenance of the Book of Kells itself, that Kells was a distinguished centre of learning during this time (plate 4.1).

Similar conclusions may be drawn with regard to other churches in Meath, and the annalistic evidence can sometimes be supplemented from other sources. To go by the frequent annalistic references in the late ninth and tenth centuries to men of learning in Duleek, for example, a prolific scriptorium was maintained there during this time.8 (plate 4.2) One of the churches most associated with learning, not only in Meath, but in Ireland as a whole, was Clonard. Finnian, the sixth-century patron saint of this church on the border of the territories of Uí Néill and Leinster, was probably not of Meath origin himself,9 but enjoyed a reputation as teacher of some of the great saints of Ireland, and the foundation of Clonard continued to be associated with learning in the centuries following. The names of no less than seventeen Clonard clerics may be found in our sources accompanied by scholarly titles, and we need not assume this list to be exhaustive:

---

Plate 4.2: Duleek Church (courtesy Francis Ludlow).
Michael Richter makes the important point that only men of learning who were considered outstanding, whether in the ecclesiastical or secular spheres, were deemed worthy of obituary notice in the annals.\textsuperscript{10} The nature of our medieval written sources is such that we may never be able to ascribe any surviving text to the vast majority of learned men mentioned in the annals, but a notable exception may be provided by Clonard. Ailéarán sapiens ("the wise [Christian] scholar") (ob. 665) was associated with Clonard and is credited fairly authoritatively with the composition of one of our earliest exegetical texts, and more speculatively with other hagiographical works.\textsuperscript{11} All of these works are in Latin and those that are more reliably associated with Ailéarán in particular are exclusively concerned with biblical studies, but they strongly indicate a stimulating and vibrant learning environment in Clonard which may well have made a place for more overtly secular traditions too, as we know certain other ecclesiastical centres did.

\textbf{Áth Truim (Trim)}

Trim may have been one such church: one Rumann mac Colmáin (ob. 747) is designated poëta optimus in his obit, which is probably a Latinisation of the secular office of ollam file, the highest rank of poet, and is the first secular poet mentioned in the annals.\textsuperscript{12} The annals, in typically laconic fashion, do not mention Rumann’s connection with Trim, but this connection, as well as the extraordinary esteem in which he was held, is reported among the corpus of saints’ genealogies: Ruman m. Colmain in fíli dà Síl Rumain i nAth Truim; Tri fíli d in domáin. i. Homer o Greciub 7 Fergl o Latinnaib et Ruman o Gaedelai\textsuperscript{13} ‘Rumann the poet, son of Colmán, from whom are Síl Rumainn in Trim; The three poets of the world: Homer of the Greeks and Virgil of the Latins (Romans) and Rumann of the Irish’. Very little of Rumann’s work survives, unfortunately, although his literary prowess may be inferred not only from the rather bold comparison with Homer and Virgil, but also by the fact that a (now) rare and highly alliterative poetic metre, ollbairdne Rumainn recomarcaich (literally, ‘Rumann’s great bardic metre, [with lines] ending in a disyllable’), appears to have been named after him.\textsuperscript{14} Stray quatrains have survived that are ascribed to Rumann, although his authorship of them is difficult to prove: vicarious ascriptions are not unusual in medieval Irish poetry, especially to highly regarded poets. One is found in a version of the story of the Battle of Allen (Cath Almaine), where Rumann is described as the poet of the king of Tara, Fergal mac Maille Dúin, and is made to utter a quatrain lamenting Fergal’s death.\textsuperscript{15} This is the only reference, to my knowledge, to a connection between Rumann and the king of Tara, Fergal mac Maille Dúin, but they were contemporaries, and we should not be surprised to find a close association between a poet of Rumann’s stature and a powerful king. The only other surviving fragment of verse which may be plausibly
attributed to Rumann is the quatrain attached to the short account in the so-called 'Fragmentary Annals' of the battle of Kildalkey (an ecclesiastical site west of Trim) in 724 which saw the defeat of the Uí Chernaig, the main southern division of Síl nÁedo Sláine (the branch of the Southern Uí Néill which controlled and occupied the territory of Brega during the early medieval period), by the Uí Chonaing, its main northern division. The corpus of work ascribed to Rumann, then, while exiguous, is focused on royalty and significant political events. We may further note the close historical and genealogical associations between Rumann's family, which included a number of abbots and bishops of Trim, and the ruling dynasty of the area, the Cenél Légaire. Rumann's association with learning was maintained by his descendants, if we may judge by obits such as that in the Annals of Ulster for Cenn Fáelad mac Rumainn, scribe 7 episcopus 7 ancorita, abb Átho Truím 'scholar and bishop and anchorite, abbot of Trim', who died in 821. Donnchadh Ó Corráin has furthermore suggested that we almost certainly owe the relatively copious genealogies of Cenél Légaire to the abbots of Trim who descended from Rumann. The ascription of other work to Rumann has been rejected on linguistic and historical grounds, but whatever little we may now recover of his oeuvre, the evidence points to his reputation and legacy among the learned classes. And that an interest in secular literature was maintained in Trim would seem to be suggested by the obit of Flann úa Cináeda (ob. 1100), who is described in the Annals of Ulster as airchinnech 'monastic superior' of Trim, as well as ardollam Mide; the latter title bespeaks high secular learning, while reflecting the fact that the sway of the kingdom of Mide had by now been extended eastwards into Brega. Unfortunately, nothing now remains which we may ascribe to Flann with certainty.

Ard Brecáin (Ardbraccan)

Another Meath church in Légaire territory with connections to both Latin and vernacular learning is Ardbraccan. Its patron saint, Ultán (ob. 657, at the age of 189, according to at least one source), was credited with two extant hymns to St Brigit, one in Latin and one in Irish, and although these ascriptions are likely to be spurious, they do point to Ultán's association with devotional literature. It has even been suggested that a Life of Brigit written by Ultán, but now lost, was the source of extant hagiographical material on Brigit in Latin and Irish, although this is a matter of debate. The loss of Ultán's own work is only one of very many unfortunate lacunae in the early Irish literary record, but his influence may still be seen in the reputation of two of his protégés. One was Tírechán, a native of the area around Killala Bay in present-day north Mayo and compiler in the 670s of the Collectanea, an account in Latin of Patrick's travels in Ireland, the surviving copy of which begins: 'Bishop Tírechán has written this, based on the words [literally, 'from the mouth'] and the book of bishop Ultán, whose
fosterling and pupil he was.²³ (Patrick's other seventh-century biographer, Muirchú, also mentions a book of Ultán as one of his sources.²⁴) Another of Ultán's pupils, Broccán, was credited with the composition of a long poem in Irish in praise of Brigit, beginning Ní car Brigit būdach bith ('Victorious Brigit loved not the world').²⁵ This attribution was questioned by James Kenney, who considered the poem not older than the ninth century, but James Carney dated it to the middle or second half of the seventh, suggesting it is one of the oldest surviving examples of syllabic verse.²⁶ This would allow for composition by Broccán, as well as making it one of the earliest extant hagiographical texts in Irish. The prose preface to the poem makes the connection between Broccán and Ultán, and between Ultán and the cultivation of literature: 'the cause [of the writing of the poem]: Ultán of Ardbreccan his tutor had requested him to relate all the miracles of Brigit compendiously (and) with poetic harmony, for it is Ultán who had collected all the miracles of Brigit'.²⁷

Ardbreccan's literary connections may be seen again in the twelfth century, in the works of the poet Gilla Mo Dutu Úa Caiside (fl. 1147), to whom is attributed a considerable poetic corpus of twelve substantial poems.²⁸ He is perhaps best known for his Ban enchas 'lore of women', a long versified list of famous women, mostly Irish but also including some from the bible and the Iliad, from Eve up to his own time.²⁹ Although Gilla Mo Dutu included many mythological and literary women in his catalogue, the majority of the women listed were wives and mothers of Uí Néill kings of Tara; he was careful, however, to 'omit the strict enumeration of the harlots and base offspring and evil women and people of low birth' in the interests of decorum.³⁰ Despite these omissions, Gilla Mo Dutu's unique creation constitutes the most extensive source of information we have on real medieval Irish women, about most of whom we would otherwise know nothing. That a member of a male-dominated learned class of a patriarchal society should embark on such a project at all says much for his disposition, even if it was intended to honour the wife of Gilla Mo Dutu's overlord, as has been suggested.³¹ Neither was he lacking in the requisite knowledge to do so: Muireann Ní Bhrolcháin has drawn attention to the great store of knowledge that he drew on to compile the Ban enchas.³² The poem also offers an extraordinary insight to Gilla Mo Dutu's personality and to his literary sensibilities:

God bestowed poetry upon people for the purpose of creating an accurate arrangement (of poetry), so that it could serve as a means of revealing good and a means of manifesting precise knowledge.

The stories that were written long ago are a history for the benefit of the world. These statements are intended for the appraisal of wealth and the accurate cultivation of poetry.
Every contentious matter which is heard, every question which is examined in lasting manner, is considered until it becomes shaped by excellence, and work is done with ordered clarity, so that a poem or a letter is always improved by the knowledge of intelligent men.

God and men are praised by the craft of true bards and poets. Wealth and constant good fortunes of excellence are received for that praise. Beautiful fitting quatrains are composed, as well as satire and triviality which is not proper.  

It may not be too much to suggest that the knowledge and the literary appreciation displayed by Gilla Mo Dutu were at least partly acquired in Ardbrahan, although this was not where he spent his whole life, nor where he composed the Ban enchas. The final stanza of the poem identifies his native place, and his current location:

Gilla Mo Dutu composed this poem with noble harmonies. He came from Ardbracken, he is not worried or distressed by minor matters in Devinish of the gracious speeches and of the clear-coloured holy arts.

This is one of a number of indications that Gilla Mo Dutu was later attached to the monastery of Daminis (Devenish) on Lower Lough Erne, Co. Fermanagh. Whether or not he was a cleric is not altogether certain; it has been argued, in any case, that he was the progenitor of the prominent medical family, the Uí Chaiside (Cassidys) of Fermanagh. Much of his surviving work is connected with this area. In his study of Gilla Mo Dutu and his work, Kevin Murray has shown that Gilla Mo Dutu's substantial poetic output relating to the saints Mo Laisse of Devenish and M'Áedóc of Ferns, for example, manifest his connections with both ecclesiastical communities and secular rulers in Fermanagh and Bréifne. Gilla Mo Dutu's interest in political matters is evidenced in another major poem attributed to him, Ériu óg inis na náem ('Pure Ireland, island of the saints'), which was immensely popular to judge by the number of surviving copies. Internal evidence points to a date of composition of 1143, although Máire Ní Mhaonaigh has drawn attention to the composite nature of the poem and to the possibility that it was not entirely Gilla Mo Dutu's work. The poem is essentially an enumeration of the kings of Ireland, from the beginning of the Christian period up to the twelfth century (in its longest version), with information on their regnal years and deaths. Gilla Mo Dutu, described in the introduction to the poem as found in Lebor Gabála Érenn as ‘blind and flat-faced’ but having ‘never chanted falsehood or a crooked history’, mentions Devenish in laudatory terms, but also alludes to his home place, to which he clearly retained a great attachment.
Ardbreccan is my home of fame,
there Christ, fair and pure, is magnified;
reverence in a church, hide it not –
the home of hospitality in Ireland.40

Sláine (Slane)
Not all centres of learning in Meath are as fortunate as Ardbraccan in being able to lay claim to scholars of whom both name and work survives. We must often be grateful for oblique hints of former greatnesses; one such is the reference to the Yellow Book of Slane found in Lebor na hUidre (‘Book of the Dun Cow’), the oldest manuscript to contain vernacular Irish material (compiled c.1100). Here, the Ulster cycle tale Serglige Con Culainn (‘The Wasting Sickness of Cú Chulainn’) is introduced with the heading slicht Libair Budi Slani ‘the version of the Yellow Book of Slane’.41 This may be taken to refer to a manuscript compiled or housed at Slane, and possibly used as a source for the Lebor na hUidre text.42 The ‘yellow’ of the manuscript’s name may refer to the colour of its cover;43 alternatively, it may refer to the colour of its parchment, possibly due to a particular preparation technique, or because it was made from sheepskin which, unlike the paler vellum of calfskin, tended to be yellowish in colour.44 To my knowledge, this is the only surviving reference to this manuscript, and our only indication of its contents; it is reasonable to suppose that it contained other secular texts in the vernacular.45 At any rate, it points to Slane as an important early monastic settlement and a place with the considerable economic and intellectual means necessary to produce such a manuscript. (plate 4.3) The

Plate 4.3: Slane Church (courtesy Francis Ludlow).
identification of Slane in the ninth-century ‘Triads of Ireland’ with brethmanas hÉrenn, ‘the judgement of Ireland’, points to its importance as a legal centre; further evidence of learning at Slane is provided by the obits of two sapientes, Ailill mac Cormaic, also described as abbot of Slane and a iudex optimus ‘excellent judge’, who died in 802, and another abbot, Congal mac Móenaig, who died in celibacy in 806. Another first for Slane, the first documented reference to a round tower, is found in the Annals of Ulster for the year 950, when the ‘bellhouse’ was burned by the Vikings, killing the fer léiginn Cáenachair in the process. The cumulative evidence indicates that Slane was home to scholars interested not only in ecclesiastical learning, but in secular and native traditions also.

**Treóit Mór (Trevet)**

One of the grounds for inferring a particular church’s interest in secular literature is the presence of allusions to that church within essentially secular texts. The church of Treóit Mór (T revet) included a working scriptorium, to judge by the obituaries of learned men associated with it in the annals. Trevet also plays a prominent part in Fáistine Airt mac Cuiin ocus a chretem ‘The prophecy of Art son of Conn and his faith’, a Middle-Irish text consisting of a short prose introduction and a poem of thirty-nine quatrains. (plate 4.4) Fáistine Airt tells how angels appeared to Art (a legendary pre-Christian king of Ireland, father of the famous king Cormac mac Airt, and ancestor of the powerful Uí Néill and Connachta dynasties) at Trevet while he was on a hunt. The sight of the angels filled Art with the grace of the Holy Spirit and, as the text tells us, empowered him to prophesy his fate and the outcome of his encounter with Mac Con in battle. This is a reference to the Battle of Mag Mucrama in which Art would fight in alliance with his sister’s son, Eógan (eponymous ancestor of the Munster ruling dynasties of Éoganachta). The story of that battle tells us that Art and Eógan were defeated by Eógan’s foster-brother (Lugaid) Mac Con; it also includes the interesting detail that among the demons that filled the sky waiting to take the doomed souls to hell were two angels, who hovered above Art throughout the battle. According to Fáistine Airt, Art’s vision at Trevet, or Duma Derglúachra (‘mound of red rushes’) as it was then called, included a prophecy of the coming of the Christian faith, and as it was at Trevet that this revelation was made to him, it was there that he chose as the place of his imminent burial. The poem which makes up the bulk of the text is put into the mouth of Art and is part-prophesy, part-paeon to Trevet, combining secular and Christian themes in notable ways. It etymologises the name Treóit as deriving from the phrase trí fótu ind ríg ‘the three sods of the king (i.e. Art)’. The name Treóit (Latinised Trioit) is attested as early as the end of the 7th century, and as far as I have been able to discover, the declared earlier name, Duma
Derglúachra, is now found mentioned only in the context of its replacement by Treóit, although Art's burial place is named as Lúachair Derg ('red rushy place') elsewhere. As the situation is presented to us in Fáistine Airt, then, the place formerly known as Duma Derglúachra acquired a new name, Treóit, which becomes primarily associated with a Christian monastic foundation, but which memorialises a pre-Christian king. The seemingly casual interfusion of a pre-Christian with Christian milieu is characteristic of the text as a whole, which,
although almost entirely presented as if from the pagan Art’s point of view, is fundamentally concerned with promoting Christian ideas, a concern which reinforces for us the text’s ecclesiastical provenance. Allusions to the transitory pagan life in the poem are not entirely condemnatory, although in Art’s evaluations of their respective merits, the eternal Christian life emerges triumphantly superior:

Though it is sweet to be here
hunting for every game,
sweeter the good hereafter
with the bright noble Prince.53

The absorption of pre-Christian tradition by the Christian that characterises the text as a whole may find a more concrete expression in the following quatrain:

Every meadow will be pleasing to thee;
the deer have not been allowed
around its mound on the south,
on which they will plant thy cross.54

There survives today the remains of an oval mound barrow at T revet, measuring eleven by sixteen metres in diameters.55 It may have been funerary in function and of pre-Christian date, as in the case of other barrows,56 but has not been excavated or dated. The barrow at T revet lies very close to the ruins of a medieval church, likely to have been built on the site of the original church. It is tempting to see here the physical remains of a superimposition of a Christian church site on a pre-Christian burial site which is expressed in verse in Fáistine Airt, although it is impossible to prove. A problem with the physical description of T revet as given in the poem is the apparent reference in the final quatrain to a lake beside Art’s burial mound:

The tomb of Conn’s son ye see,
with a wave along its side,
on the bank of the full lake;
it is not a tale of pleasant form.57

There is now no lake at T revet, although the name Duma Derglúachra ‘mound of red rushes’ may indicate a wet place. Helen Imhoff has suggested, however, that the lake here referred to may be Loch nGabor (Lagore).58 The crannóg in Loch nGabor was a royal residence of the kings of the southern branch of Síl nÁedo Sláine, and Thomas Charles-Edwards has pointed to an association between the Uí Chernaig, the main southern branch of Síl nÁedo Sláine, and the
church of T revet. Could this quatrain be an expression of this connection? It would certainly seem that the piety and glory of the church of T revet, although a prime focus of the poem, is not its only concern:

In avenging our wounds,
I and my saint,
I shall be the great burden,
and my fire by my side.

Woe to the youth of my seed
whose evil will come to us;
he shall be punished forthwith,
woe to his son and his grandson.

Woe to the king till splendid doom
who will take an advantage of my saint;
to Tara of the three, ye see,
it will not be pleasant.

These quatrains, though cast in the form of a prophecy, would seem to voice a righteous outrage at a contemporary or recent violation, possibly a reverberation of violent rivalries either within the Síl nÁedo Sláine sept or more widely among the southern Uí Néill. We may never know exactly which of Art’s descendants elicited the above execration, or why, but potential objects of opprobrium are suggested in entries such as the following from the Annals of Ulster for the year 850, which bears witness to the destruction suffered at T revet as ‘collateral damage’ of such conflicts:

Cináed son of Conaing, king of Ciannacht, rebelled against Máel Sechnaill with the support of the foreigners, and plundered the Uí Néill from the Shannon to the sea, both churches and states, and he deceitfully sacked the island of Loch nGabor, levelling it to the ground, and the oratory of T réit, with two hundred and sixty people in it, was burned by him.

Pointed reproofs aside, the overall message of Fáistine Airt is the glory of T revet, its saint Lonán and God, achieved both through direct statements to this effect (‘T revit, a trio of sods, from the three sods of the king, when all else shall be little, then shall their power be great. Thou shalt be great at first before God excellent in power; thou shalt be little, there shall be a time; thou shalt be great thereafter’) and more generally in the pervasive assertion of a special link with Art. This links T revet to the power-holders of medieval Ireland through their
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legendary ancestor, and its establishment of itself as Art's choice of burial place was perhaps intended to secure it a niche in Úi Néill tradition and thereby to claim their favour in more practical ways. The link is subtly but firmly made; the author even cleverly subverts his purpose by having Art predict that greater glory will accrue to his legend on account of his connection with T revet and Lonán:

He will leave with me here
one from whom my protection comes;
it will be the more [on that account]
that my story will be great in a house of kings.64

Possible practical and historical concerns in the poem should not distract us from appreciating its literary quality. It is written in lethrannaigecht mór, a metre of quintasyllabic lines, each one of which should end in a monosyllable, with end-rhyme required between lines b and d, and aicill rhyme between lines c and d, as well as alliteration and consonance.65 The poet was naturally limited in what he could say within these constraints, but succeeded in crafting some lines of elegant concision and quatrains of musical intricacy, which can get lost in translation:

Messi ocus M acc Con
dínb bat budig brain...
I and M ac Con,
from us ravens will be sated...66

Biaid com fholuch án
iar tonuch mo tháeb;
en co nertaib núal
dar dercaib síug sáer.
There will be at my splendid burial
after the washing of my sides,
water with many cries
through the eyes of noble hosts.67

Finally, despite the clear Christian intent of the poem, it is hard not to smile at the relatively painless pledge that Art takes on the eve of his own death, as he himself has already foretold:

I go on a path of battles,
great will be the end to my life;
on every day that I am in the body,
may I not shun God's will.68

Tuilén (Dulane)
The central part that T revet plays in Fáistine Airt allows us to make a strong argument for the association of that text with that foundation. Similarly, internal evidence suggests the involvement of the church of Tuilén (Dulane) in the redaction of one of our most dramatic king-tales, Aided Muirchertaig M éic Erca
The violent death of Muirchertach Mac Erca. The destruction of the king of Ireland, Muirchertach, by a beautiful, otherworldly woman is the focal event of the story, which is built up through a complex layering of traditional, mythic narrative themes and patterns with historical concerns and a contemporary moral. The tale begins with Muirchertach’s encounter with a strange, alluring woman while out hunting near Brug na Bóinne, who tells him that she came in search of him. So enchanted with her, he agreed to her rather suspect conditions, including that he should not allow his wife, Duaibsech, nor any clerics, into his house as long as she stayed there, nor should he ever utter her name, Sín (literally ‘bad weather, storm’). The banished Duaibsech went with her children to Tuilén, where she appealed to her confessor, the saintly bishop Cairnech, who blessed her and reassured her of her wifely prerogative and set out to challenge the king on her behalf. He, however, was repulsed by Sín, upon which he cursed the king’s house and prophesied the end of Muirchertach’s life and reign, although he and Muirchertach soon met again when Cairnech was acting as mediator of a peace treaty.

Sín’s character then begins to emerge in a verse dialogue between her and Muirchertach: she claims to be human, yet capable of miracles which no Christian listener would countenance from a mere mortal, including the creation of a sun, moon and stars, and the turning of Boyne water into wine. She conjures up two battalions, and she and Muirchertach watch them as they slaughter each
other. She then makes wine from Boyne water, and pigs from bracken, on which
the household feasts and are greatly satisfied. Next morning, however, all who had
partaken of Sín's feast were debilitated. She magically turns stones into yet more
warriors, some black, some goat-headed. The crazed Muirchertach charges in
among them, but each one he wounds rises up again immediately. Sín appears
to project an anticlerical malevolence into this battle in her speech to Muirchertach:

Ar in cl irech rot c[h]abair,
in cath cr dasa tabair,
gur t[h]uitst co ndogra lind
fir gorma 7 gobar-chind.70

Wage the bloody battle
on the cleric who helped you,
so that the black and goat-headed men
may be killed, wailing, by us.

Muirchertach again partakes of Sín's enchanted food and drink, falls into a heavy
slumber, awakes debilitated, and sets to fighting the phantasmal warriors he sees
before him. Understanding the difficulty Muirchertach is in, Cairnech comes to
assist him, and by the sign of the cross enables him to see that he was not
attacking men, but stones and sods. King and saint reconciled, Cairnech and his
clerics tell Muirchertach that they have come to apprise him of his impending
death and to take his body for burial in Tuilén. As an act of expiation, and in what
was, we are told, the first time ever ground was broken at Brug na Bóinne,
Muirchertach himself digs a trench for the building of a church for the clerics.
When Sín learns of this, she induces Muirchertach, by magic and verbal
persuasion, to turn his back again on the clerics and their teachings. During
another drugged sleep, Muirchertach awakes screaming from a dream in which
an otherworld army overcame and destroyed his house and people. By this point
in the story, the audience are in no doubt that Muirchertach has lost all control
and is heading ineluctably to his end, and as if to confirm this, he accidentally
breaks Sín's prohibition ever to utter her name, eliciting a prophecy of his death
from her. He sleeps his final earthly sleep, again, under the effects of Sín's
hallucinative wine, while Sín blockades the doorways with spears and javelins
pointing inwards and magically creates a demonic host around the house.
Muirchertach awakes from his slumber and rushes the host, but is pierced by a
spear in the doorway. Trapped in the house, which is now on fire, he seeks shelter
in a cask of wine, but is drowned therein, while the burning house falls down on
top of him, burning the five feet of his body not immersed in the wine (it is later
pointed out that Muirchertach was thirty feet tall).

This episode is an instance of the so-called ‘threelfold death motif’, well
known from Irish sources and beyond, in the classic Irish examples of which
death is more or less simultaneously caused by wounding, drowning and
burning.71 William Sayers has argued that this motif, though reshaped to suit
Christian ideological interests, goes back to a much earlier tradition, fundamentally concerned with relations between human sovereignty and cosmic order, some elements of which are meaningfully retained in surviving examples. Muirchertach's body was washed in the Boyne by Cairnech and his clerics, who took it to Tuilén for burial. Duaibsech, having come across the clerics carrying her husband's corpse, broke down and died immediately from grief as 'a burst of gore broke from her heart'; her body was then also taken by the clerics for burial. Sin then appears to the clerics, and asks Cairnech for absolution, who assures her that God's forgiveness is available to all. She explains her motive for killing the king: he had killed her family and routed her people from their homelands near Tara. She is remorseful, however, and repents and dies of grief for the king whom she had destroyed but genuinely loved, and the clerics bury their third body that day. Muirchertach's soul remained in hell, despite Cairnech's efforts, until ultimately he composed a Latin prayer, the constant repetition of which secured the soul's release. An angel then descended, telling Cairnech that heaven would be the reward for anyone who would repeat his prayer.

Brendan O Hehir described Aided Muirchertaig Meic Erca as 'highly Christianized', and an 'anti-goddess story, reversing the pagan polarities'. Indeed, the inspiration behind the story's composition is manifestly Christian, and its message that redemption, and worldly success, is to be achieved through communion with the Church and espousal of its ideals rings loud and clear throughout, but the narrative framework that carries this message is rich and complex, and draws heavily on secular narrative traditions. The careful adaptation, manipulation and subversion of traditional story patterns in the story to serve new purposes have been discussed by Joan Radner and Máire Herbert. The characters of Muirchertach and Sin challenge expectations of the stock king and goddess types which they resemble, and the treatment of notions of good, evil, transgression, justice, love and revenge in the story reveals an authorial sensitivity and sense of nuance which complicates the moralism of the story. Máire Herbert has pointed to the author's consciousness of the human element of the story, much of the ambivalence of the characters' motivations and reactions can be seen best in the first-person verse sections (mostly untranslated by Stokes in his edition). Cairnech ultimately prevails, but the level of power conceded in the story to the non-Christian supernatural is noteworthy. On the level of narrative action, as well as of literary composition, the text epitomises the accommodation that the church in Ireland made for secular traditions, and the firm, but relatively gentle, subordination of old ideas to Christian ideals. The image of the building of a new church on the previously sacrosanct land of Brug na Bóinne, pagan location par excellence, is perhaps as vivid a metaphor for this palimpsesting as one may get.
The story’s link with Túilén, at least in the version which survives, is clearly made. It is represented by its saint Cairnech and the central part he is made to play in the story may be a fair indication, as Máire Herbert has suggested, that the author was himself connected with Cairnech’s community.76 The Túilén connection is reinforced by the emphasis in the story on burial there, both with Muirchertach’s burial beside the church itself and with Cairnech’s pronouncement that Túilén would henceforth be the burial place of the kings of Ailech, the Cenél nÉogain branch of the Northern Úi Néill to which Muirchertach belonged.77 This emphasis was likely intended to cement a favourable contemporary political relationship through a demonstration of Túilén’s long-standing prerogative.78

Aided Muirchertaig M eic Erca is thus a wonderful example of a medieval Irish text which combines a practical purpose with the aesthetic satisfaction of story, and a testament to the literary talent which Túilén seemingly had at its disposal.

Other possible echoes of a busy learned environment at Túilén are the citing of Cairnech as one of the three bishops that participated in the compilation of the Senchas Már, one of the most important and extensive early Irish legal tracts, and Dubhaltach M ac Fhirbhisigh’s reference to the lost manuscript Leabhar Gearn Thuílén (‘Short Book of Túilén’) as a source in his Leabhar Móir na nGenealach (‘Great Book of Genealogies’).79 We need not accept the historicity of the former claim; it is rather the associations that it connotes that are significant for us.

Flannacán mac Cellaig

Muirchertach’s death is among those listed in a poem beginning Innid scél scailter n-airich (‘Tell the tale of the chief which is spread’), ascribed to Flannacán mac Cellaig, a remarkable character who was not only an esteemed poet, but also a king of Brega. The annalistic notice of his death by Vikings in 896 makes no reference to his poetic accomplishments, but he is listed with three other kings as an example of a rígbard ‘king-bard’ in a legal tract on poets.80 He is now best known for the above-mentioned poem, which relates the deaths of great heroes of Irish tradition, mostly from Ulster Cycle tales, but also of some legendary and historical kings, grouped according to the days of the week on which they died.81 One of the great merits of the poem is the witness it bears to the early Irish traditional repertoire. Kathleen Mulchrone, the poem’s editor, regarded the poem as ‘practically the earliest independent evidence we possess of the existence of Old Irish versions of many of our prímscéla [‘primary stories’].82 The poem thus gives us a snapshot of the richness of early medieval literary culture, as well as a glimpse of some of what has been lost of it.

Mulchrone noted two other short poems ascribed to Flannacán but remarked that he must have written many more, given his high profile.83 One such may
possibly be found in Cath Crinna ‘the Battle of Crinna’, a Middle Irish tale which sets out to explain the presence of the non-Uí Néill population group Ciannacht Breg in Brega.84 (plate 4.6) Crinna has not been definitively identified, but references to it suggest it was in the vicinity of Tara, or perhaps of the Boyne Valley archaeological complex; Cath Crinna itself associates it with Cenn Comair, which is probably near the confluence of the Boyne and Blackwater rivers.85 The
tale relates that Cormac mac Airt enlisted the help of Tadc mac Cén, a legendary Munster ancestor-figure, to defeat the Ulstermen who had expelled him from his kingdom. It was in return for his assistance that Tadc was granted the territories later occupied by the Ciannacht Breg, who traced their descent to Tadc. A short poem found in the earlier version of the tale lists the seven battles in one day that Tadc fought, and is ascribed in the Book of Leinster to ‘Flannacán’, perhaps referring to Flannacán mac Cellaig. This may be a vicarious ascription, and there are indications that the poem as it stands is a Middle Irish composition, but it is interesting to note Paul Byrne’s suggestion made on internal historical grounds that Cath Crinna (or a precursor of the extant text) was perhaps a product of the ninth century, when Flannacán and others of his family were kings of Brega, by which time Síl nÁedo Sláine had subsumed the kingship of the Ciannacht Breg. In any case, however little may remain of Flannacán’s œuvre, it is of immense value as literature that can be ascribed with confidence to a particular person, time and place, an intersection of co-ordinates all too rare in the early Irish context.

Cináed ua hArtacáin

Flannacán is not the only poet whose authority is invoked in Cath Crinna. Another short poem on Tadc’s victories and his compact with Cormac is ascribed to ‘Cinaed’ in all three manuscripts of the earlier version. Probably the best-known poet of this name was Cináed ua hArtacáin, designated ‘chief poet of Ireland’ in the notice of his death in 975 in the Annals of Ulster, to which a note was added stating that he was of Síl Cernaig Sotail (Uí Chernaig), a branch of Síl nÁedo Sláine that traced their descent from Cernach Sotal, grandson of Æed Sláine, and that were probably partly responsible for the appropriation of Ciannacht territory in Brega. It is quite possible that the Cath Crinna ascription was intended to refer to him. Another poem, beginning Úallach do-luid Tadc i tresaib (‘Proudly did Tadc come in the battles’), is found at the end of the copies of the text found in the Books of Lecan and Ballymote, and is ascribed to ‘Cinaeth eolach’ (‘Cináed the learned’), perhaps referring to the same poet. Cináed ua hArtacáin has a considerable corpus of poetry ascribed to him in our sources; as far as I am aware, twelve poems, other than those in Cath Crinna, bear ascriptions to Cináed, although not all may be authentic. Poems ascribed to him are mainly concerned with the traditional history of Ireland – tales of kings, heroes, mythological figures and the lore of famous places – and are therefore hugely valuable witnesses to these traditions. Moreover, they testify to Cináed’s credentials as a poet, both in terms of his great knowledge and of his poetic skill. Even doubtful ascriptions presuppose this pre-eminence: Rudolf Thurneysen observed that the fathering of the poem beginning Secht o.f.n. (‘seven ...?’) on Cináed, perhaps two centuries after he lived, demonstrates his enduring prestige.
The theme of death and burial provides a ‘catch-all’ in Cináed’s poetry under which a multitude of traditions are brought together. Perhaps his best-known poem, Fianna bátar i nÉmain (‘Champions who dwelt in Emain (Navan Fort)’) is a catalogue of the deaths of many heroes (mostly from the Ulster Cycle) and kings of Irish tradition, including limited details about the circumstances or place of death, place of burial, or identity of perpetrators and their motives. (plate 4.7) Most of the characters are known from elsewhere, but some allusions are
tantalisingly laconic: the death of a certain Cellach, buried at the Brug, occurred, a gloss tells us, ‘by the cold of the river, or a disease feigned to put love of him in his wives’.92 This may refer to the king of Ireland Cellach mac Máil Coba, who died at Brug na Bóinne in 656 and whose grave at the Brug is referred to elsewhere, but if so, we may never be able to fully understand what part his domestic situation may have played in his demise.93 Burial is central to other poems ascribed to Cináed. Ón sin a maig Meic ind Óc (‘Bright is it here, a plain of Mac ind Óc’) is a dind enchas (‘lore of notable places’) poem on Brug na Bóinne, and is primarily concerned with the pagan kings who were buried at the Boyne Valley necropolis.94 The final quatrain of the poem may contain a dedication to Congalach mac Máel Mithig (or Congalach Cnogba, ‘Congalach of Knowth’, grandson of Flannacán mac Cellaig), a Síl nÁedo Sláine high-king who died in 956.95 The association with burial is reinforced by the poem’s inclusion in Senchas na Relec, a text concerned with the pre-eminent burial places of Ireland, where it is also ascribed to Cináed, who composed it, we are told, ‘to make known the nobles who were buried in the Brug’.96 A chloch thall for elaid úair (‘O stone yonder upon the cold tomb’) is addressed to the petrified brain of Mes Gegra, a Leinster king, which was driven into the head of the Ulster king Conchobar mac Nessa by a Connacht warrior. There it harmlessly stayed until Conchobar’s fury at hearing about Christ’s crucifixion caused it, and his own brain, to burst from his head. Mes Gegra’s stone brain was allegedly later used as a pillow by St Buithe, founder of Monasterboice (northwest of Drogheda, in County Louth); it is Buithe’s tomb that is referred to in the opening line of the poem.97 Contemplation of Niall Nógiallaích’s (Niall of the Nine Hostages) grave provides a starting point from which to tell the story of his death in the dind enchas poem on Ochan (Faughan Hill, west of Navan, in Martry parish), Déccid ferta níthaig Néill (‘Behold the martial trench [grave] of Niall’).98 As in the poem on Conchobar mac Nessa, the image of the poet’s physical presence at the grave lends a sense of immediacy to the poem: it is the very sight of the grave which, he might like us to think, spontaneously inspired his composition. Graves also play a prominent part in another dind enchas poem, Achall ar-aicce Temair (‘Achall which overlooks Temair [Tara]’), which purports to tell the history of the name Achall, the old name for the Hill of Skryne; the poet, intimating his deep knowledge of the area and its traditions, alludes to an even older name replaced by it: ‘the land of the poet M ane the indolent / it was called from him before it was named Achall’.99 Again, the poet makes a point of placing himself at the location: ‘that there may be a place in high heaven for Cináed ua hArtacáin: he knows the rule of rhyme for every verse; it is he that goes to and fro in Achall’.100 It has been suggested that Cináed’s patron at this time was Amlaíb Cuarán, a Norse king of Dublin, who is mentioned in a quatrain (found in the Book of Leinster copy only).101 If this is the case, the poem offers intriguing evidence for Norse-Irish cultural relations in the late tenth century.102
Cináed's two dind enchas poems on Tara, Ní cheil maísi dona mnáib ('Tara does not hide the glory due to women') and Domun duthain a lainde ('This world, transient its splendour'), are replete with allusions to mythological and legendary traditions about Tara, woven together in an intricate and highly ornamented metre. In the former poem in particular, which is set entirely in a mythological milieu, emphasis is again placed on graves and the sanctity of burial sites. Domun duthain a lainde, bringing the story somewhat closer to our time, focuses on the prehistoric kingship of Cormac mac Airt, and the poet clearly delights in painting an evocative picture of opulence and grandeur in Cormac's imposing court. Another poem which evinces Cináed's close connection with the Brega area is Doluid Ailill isin caillid ('Ailill came into the forest'), a poem concerned with Síl nÁedo Sláine dynasts. Some of the linguistic forms it contains suggest a later date than Cináed's floruit, but if he was not himself responsible for its composition, it is likely that he became linked with it on account of his well-known association with Síl nÁedó Sláine. The overall picture that emerges from Cináed's poetry, then, is of a politically-aware and well-connected poet, a religious man with a passionate interest in the historical traditions of Ireland, and particularly of the Síl nÁedo Sláine territory of Brega, centred in present-day Meath, and who infused these interests into some of the most technically accomplished and historically important poetry that survives from tenth-century Ireland.

Conclusion
Indeed, there are other dind enchas poets who would merit discussion here should space permit it. Cúán ua Lothcháin (ob. 1024) was another prolific dind enchas poet and one, it has been suggested, who may have been behind the project of compiling the roughly two hundred poems and prose pieces into the main collection of dind enchas that we know today. Cúán's material is predominantly concerned with areas in present-day counties Meath and Westmeath; it has been suggested that he himself came from the Westmeath area, although the sources are not categorical on this point. Another high-profile poet, Flann Mainistrech (ob. 1056), contributed a significant number of dind enchas poems to the collection, and is also attributed with a considerable body of other work, including some concerned with the history of Brega; his epithet Mainistrech ('of Mainistir') is a reference to Monasterboice, Co. Louth, where he was lector. There are moreover many anonymous poems in the dind enchas collections which treat of Meath places; it must be reasonably likely that they were also written in Meath, but corroborative evidence on this point is scant, and space does not allow for their consideration here.

The written corpus that survives from the middle ages must fall far short of the actual output of the time. Despite this, and the difficulties attendant on
localising the composition of the early anonymous literature which survives,
various centres of learning in Meath may be identified, and some may be
proposed as the places of compilation of extant literary texts. In this way, from
the penumbra of early Irish scholarship, areas of brilliant light appear, some of
them emanating from the poets, scholars and centres of learning of Meath which
left an imprint that long outlasted their own existence, in some cases up till the
present day.
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